1 Mr. Nix. Is there further usecu Approved For Release 2004/05/05: CIA-RDP81M00980R000100140818-8ne ndnent 7 Those in favor of the amendment will say aye. 3 Mr. Derwinski. Aye. 4 Mr. Nix. Those oppose no. 5 (Chorus of noes.) 6 In the opinion of the Chair the noes have it. Mr. Nix. 7 The amendment is not agreed to. 8 Mr. Ford. Mr. Chairman. 9 I don't have an amendment, but I have a request 10 that the report accompanying this bill carry language to make 11 clear that on Page 7, Section 3, starting on Line 16 reading 12 down to Line 18, the words "local rates are used", that the 13 report reflect our intention that we are not changing the present 14 law which limits this principles application to blue collar 15 workers so that no one will read this provision and think that 16 we are intentionally changing the present law with respect to 17 comparability in setting wages. 18 Any discussion on the request by the Gentleman 19 from Michigan? 20 (No response.) 21 If not, without objection it's agreed to. Mr. Nix. 22 Mrs. Schroeder. Mr. Chairman. 23 Mrs. Schroeder. Mr. Nix. 24 Mrs. Schroeder. Mr. Chairman, I have an amendment to Titl Reporters, Inc. 25 I think members of the Committee have it front of them,

Approved For Release 2004/05/05: CIA-RDP81M00980R000700110078-8

consent that all of the liftle pieces be considered together. It is basically a whistleblower amendment, and it obviously spills 3 If I may have unanimous consent to consider it over a bit. 4 as one parcel, I think we could expedite. 5 Mr. Derwinski. Mr. Chairman. 6 Mr. Nix. Mr. Derwinski. 7 Mr. Derwinski. I presume that the Gentlelady will explain 8 each paragraph of her amendment. 9 Mrs. Schroeder. If the Gentleman has one, it's very unique 10 11 and it is kind of self-explained on the side. We will get you a copy immediately if you don't have one. 12 Mr. Derwinski. I have a copy of the amendment. 13 14 Mrs. Schroeder. That's a copy of the amendment, and we will 15 explain it, yes. Mr. Nix. Without objection, the unanimous request is agreed 16 17 to. 18 Mrs. Schroeder. First of all, I want to thank three other 19 members who have been very, very helpful in preparing this amendment, Congressmen Hanley, Gilman, and Lehman. They have been 20 very supportive and have done a lot of work on this amendment. 21 And it has been a bit frustrating because it is difficult and 22 23 it does spread into other areas. 24 Let me be very terse and attempt to say what this does. - 25 This goes to the whistleblowing area that, I think, so many of Approved For Release 2004/05/05 : CIA-RDP81M00980R000700110078-8

Mr. Chairman. It starts on Page 8, and I would ask unanimous

·6

ws, Inc.

I feel that this expanded definition is very needed because Approved For Release 2004/05/05: CIA-RDP81M00980R000700110078-8

Approved For Release 2004/05/05: CIA-RDP81M00980R000700110078-8 us have heard an awful lot about. And my dual service on the Armed Services Committee, I think we have been more familiar with it than most.

The bill does do some things for whistleblowers. This 12 basically to provide a little more coverage for whistleblowers.

Let me explain in particular what I mean by that.

First of all, under the bill as it is right now, a person is protected as a whistleblower if they reveal something that is a violation of the law. Now, I feel that is too limited a definition. And in this amendment that I have proposed we would expand the definition to include "evidence of mismanagement, waste of funds, abuse of authority, or a substantial and specific danger of public health or safety." That would particularly like the flu shot area or some other area like that.

We go on to say that any whistleblowing that involves areas where national security issues or private, or confidential relationships are disclosed the whistleblower must pursue within the government disclosure first. They must go the special counsel of the merit system protection board or to the agency head. They cannot go outside first. So, we draw a bright line between the private, confidential, and national security areas and the other areas, which would be mismanagement, waste of funds, abuse of authority, or substantial and specific danger to public health and safety.

ers, Inc. of the proved the committee over a long period of time. And I think it would be needed to really protect whistleblowers.

Secondly, what it does is that it does state clearly again that whistleblowing is a protected activity within the principles of the merit system. And it goes on to expand the definition of a personnel action. Because one of the things that we've seen happening to whistleblowers is that they have been subjected to gradual harrassment or assignment to impossible jobs, or relocated to the Anartica, or some other such thing.

So, we have expanded the definition of what a personnel action might be to include those types of things. It's not just firing and so forth.

And it also changes who is in control of this. Under the President's bill or under the bill we have in front of us -- I don't know whose bill it is right at the moment. It's a little bit of everybody's, I guess -- under the hodgepodge bill -- It says in there that the Office of Personnel Management has the final authority. We give it to the special counsel which is where I think it really belongs, because we are clearly trying to put the merit system under special counsel and management issues under the Officer of Personnel Management.

If you leave it in the bill as it is right now, I think that you would be subject to not forcing protection of whistleblowers if the Office of Release 2004/05/05a CHAIRDPB tM 00980 R000 V001 tt 0078-8 And I think

that this is important, that we keep it in the merit section
Approved For Release 2004/05/05: CIA-RDP81M00980R000700110078-8
side and the management side be on the other side. And special
counsel could ask for help in trying to alleviate some of the
problems that the whistleblower may or may not come up with.

I could go on and on as to why I think this is a very, very, very important amendment. But I think most of us have been aware of it. There has been many, many record inserts of whistleblowing cases — different cases. A lot of the people who have been very courageous have had their toes stepped on in many instances. I think it would be very difficult to be against this, and I think what it is saying is "yes, we want Civil Service reform, and we also want to make sure that funds aren't wasted and that people within the Civil Service are free to speak out and live under jeopardy of being sent to Anartica or whatever."

Obviously there are protections so that if it is the same person using whistleblowing as an excuse every single time, they are going to pick that out. I know people will say "Well, that's just the label that they will use continually to be able to harass management." But clearly there are protections against that, too.

So, I would urge people to support it. And again I want to thank many other members for helping in this.

Mr. Udall. Mr. Chairman, I think this is a good amendment, and I commend Mrs. Schroeder for the work she has done on it.

We've got to strike a balance between the danger that every Approved For Release 2004/05/05: CIA-RDP81M00980R000700110078-8

corters, Inc.

member of the Federal Civil Service is going to say "I'm a
Approved For Release 2004/05/05: CIA-RDP81M00980R000700110078-8
whistleblower." And even the most egregious conduct would be
defended as whistleblowing.

On the other hand, we haven't had adequate protection for employees -- honest, good, faithful employees, who are pointing out waste and mismanagement.

I understand this amendment -- Maybe Mrs. Schroeder can correct me -- is quite similar to what the Senate Committee did in the course of its markup.

Mrs. Schroeder. That's correct.

Mr. Udall. I am not sure that it is totally acceptable to the Administration. But I think it is a step forward and improve the bill, and I am personally going to vote for it.

Mrs. Schroeder. I thank the Gentleman from Arizona. It is very similar to what the Senate has done, and I think it is a very good step forward.

Mr. Derwinski. Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Nix. Mr. Derwinski.

Mr. Derwinski. I would only suggest as a matter of practicle procedure here that since some of us didn't see this —
There's always that very thin line between the intent of an author and the explanation that an author provides and then an interpretation that eventually developes. I would hope that we would, therefore, by the time we write the Committee report have a very precise and proper explanation of just what this amendment

Approved For Release 2004/05/05 : CIA-RDP81M00980R000700110078-8

The term whistleblower is like motherhood. And we are all for whistleblowing evidently. At the same time it does raise an awful lot of questions. It raises the question of misuse of that very phrase and misuse of a technique. And I am sure that the Lady wants to protect the administrators of government from misuse of what is basically a good procedure.

Mrs. Schroeder. If the Gentleman would yield. I think we have attempted to very closely define what whistleblowing mean on the second appe of the amendment, the third portion down there where it says "Page 12, Line 23 after "or regulation" insert the following. And that is the definition that we put in there.

It is very similar to the one in the Senate.

I think that the Gentleman makes an excellent point. You can say that sneezing was whistleblowing because you showed that someone came to the office with a cold and spread it. But we have attempted to draw the line very tightly and to put in that distinction between national security, private, and confidential matters also. I Certainly would welcome if the Gentleman sees something in here we would be more than welcome to try to do something in the report. But it is very similar to the Senate. And, as I say, Mr. Gilman, Hanley, and Lehman have worked very hard on it, too. And hopefully it will be acceptable.

Mrs. Spellman. Mr. Chairman.

Approved Tor Release 2004/05/05: CIA-RDP81M00980R000700110078-8

Mr. Hanley. Mr. Chairman, I want to commend the Gentlelad from Colorado for the initiative that she has taken, and I would hope very much that her amendment would enjoy broad support in the Committee.

In an effort to hopefully better accomplish the Gentlelady intent, I have an amendment to Mrs. Schroeder's amendment. I believe that the amendment is probably on the desk of each of the members.

Although it is a two-page amendment, and hopeful that we might expedite this process today, essentially my addition, I believe is necessary to insure that that agency conducts a thorough investigation of the charge. So, if an employee is convinced that such a complete investigation will take place, he or she will likely to see internal resolution of the problem before going public. This amendments mandates that an agency report on the conduct and outcome of its investigation. It does not impose a major workload or paperwork requirement.

I hope these reports can in most cases be limited to but one page. Finally, these reports will not disclose the name of the employee who brought the wrongdoing to the attention of the agency. Essentially that is what this amendment is all about. I believe that it complements the intent Mrs. Schroeder has, and hope very much that --

Approved For Release 2004/05/05GGIA-RDR811MQ09811R000700110078-8

ters, Inc. Approved For Release 2004/05/05: CIA-RDP81M00980R000700110078-8

Mr. Clay. I, of course, support the amendment. But I would like to point out that in Mrs. Schroeder's amendment she has a Section A, B, and C. And when you get down to D in your amendment you are only referring to laws, rules, and regulations, when she talks about mismanagement, waste of funds, abuse of authority et cetera. Now, would you be agreeable to accept that language.

Mr. Hanley. Yes, the intent is to concur with -- My intent is to concur with the intent of Mrs. Schroeder. So, I am most amenable to any technical changes that might be required to do as you suggest.

Mrs. Schroeder. Will the Gentleman yield?

Mr. Hanley. I would be delighted to yield to Mrs. Schroede Mrs. Schroeder. I thank the Gentleman, and if we could add that portion just to make sure that we are not going to the violation of law definition, I think this is an excellent of what we're doing. And I think it really targets in what we are attempting to do, and I appreciate the Gentleman's amendment.

Mr. Hanley. I appreciate the Gentlelady's comments.

Mrs. Spellman. Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Nix. Mrs. Spellman.

Mrs. Spellman. Mr. Chairman, I would like to point out -and I do want to commend both Mrs. Schroeder and Mr. Hanley for
working on this particular section which I think is vital. I

³toorters, Inc.

ters, Inc. do wanterved For Release 2004/05/05 that RPP81M09980R9000700140078 thing with both of them because I am taking it for granted that what they are doing is good, worthwhile, and will improve legislation. But indeed I do wish that we had had a day to take a look at these amendments because they are so very important. But we do have to move ahead, and that was decided earlier.

I hope that within the amendment we've made it very clear that there is a difference between a "fink" and a whistleblower. I hope that within the amendments — and I haven't gone through them, and I don't know — that we have made it clear that there is a normal procedure that a person would go through. That if I had a complaint about this Committee, that blowing the whistle to the television station is not the way I should be going. I should first go to the Chairman of the Committee. If the Chairman of the Committee refuses to change what to me obviously needs to be changed, then I should go the proper route and probably go to the Speaker or the Democratic caucus. And when all fail, then I would blow the whistle to the public.

I hope that that kind of procedure is embodied in these amendment.

Mrs. Schroeder. If the Gentlelady will yield, I think that that's what we tried very carefully to do, because we obviously don't want a blanket excuse for everybody. And yet on the other hand, we all know the very tragic cases that have occurred in the paperoyed for, Release 2004/05/05 CGIA-RDP84/M90980R000700110078-8 ts. And I

think especially with Mr. Hanley's amendment we have made that Approved For Release 2004/05/05: CIA-RDP81M00980R000700110078-8 doubly clear.

Mrs. Spellman. Are we — and may I ask this question, Mr. chairman — are we making sure that everybody who doesn't prevail in a complaint that he or she has doesn't end up calling himself a whistleblower? Can you go through the normal complaints and then decide that the real reason you are in this process altogethat is that there has been corruption?

Mrs. Schroeder. If the Gentlewoman will yield, I think if you look at the amendment, the special counsel does screen them. And we do have a definition in there of what constitutes whistleblowing. So, he has a screen and a screening process, and the authority to screen. So, I think he certainly has been given that authority.

Mrs. Spellman. Fine.

Mr. Derwinski. Mr. Chairman.

Mr. Nix; Mr. Derwinski.

Mr. Derwinski. I think that it is interesting that we keep expressing the fears here which Mrs. Spellman just did, that some one doesn't use that category of whistleblower as a sort of shield for all actions. Now, I realize that my general title given to me in this Committee is not whistleblower; It's more, I think, "pain in the neck" is what most members consider.

Mrs. Spellman. I thought it was the porter of the Administration.

Approved For Release 2004/05/05: CIA-RDP81M00980R000700110078-8

- 23

ærs, Inc.

9

11

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

ers, Inc. 25

Mr. Derwinski. But I would like to point out that I am Approved For Release 2004/05/05: CIA-RDP81M00980R000700110078-8 intrigued by two things. First, that Mrs. Schroeder gave Mr. Hanley credit for helping draft her amendment. And Mr. Hanley comes in with the perfecting amendment to an amendment which he is a major contributor, which leaves me to believe communications are what they ought to be somewhere.

Mr. Hanley. Will the Gentleman yield?

Mr. Derwinski. Yes, I'll yield to the Gentleman.

Mr. Hanley. I appreciate the Gentleman's yielding, but being a human being, and certainly as such not infallible, and I think we'll all agree that from time-to-time we make oversights. And the intent of my amendment -- and Mrs. Schroeder agrees -- is to hopefully better accomplish the fundamental intent of the basic amendment, the Schroeder amendment, that we are dealing with. And the Gentleman would agree that from time-to-time we have the occasion to make these changes.

Mr. Derwinski. I compliment the Gentleman for his modesty, because I recall a document called H.R. 7700 that had the stamp of infallibility.

(Laughter.)

Mr. Hanley. Speaking of that, if the Gentleman will yield further.

(Laughter.)

Mr. Derwinski. I just want to point out at the risk of sounding like a real S.O.B., the Gentleman stated -- and this, Approved For Release 2004/05/05: CIA-RDP81M00980R000700110078-8